Willow vegetation filters for wastewater treatment and soil remediation combined with biomass production

by Pär Aronsson and Kurth Perttu

Vegetation filters of fast-growing trees such as willows and poplars are becoming important as an alternative to conventional treatment of wastewater and landfill leachate. Short-rotation willow coppice is a non-edible crop and has many of the requirements for a suitable vegetation filter. The filtering capacity (e.g., of nitrogen) is very high, and the crop promotes denitrification in the root zone. It has a highly selective uptake of heavy metals, especially cadmium, which enables remediation of contaminated soils. In addition, willows have a high evapotranspiration rate facilitating high loads, e.g., of polluted landfill leachate. Because of the pathogens present in municipal wastewater and sludge, special attention must be paid to storage and distribution of wastewater. In many cases vegetation filters are more cost-effective than conventional treatment methods and also facilitate recycling of valuable resources in society.
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Introduction
Background
In the late 19th century, municipal waste problems were taken seriously when the relationships between untreated or unsatisfactorily treated wastes and different diseases and infections were discovered. This problem was typically serious in urban areas, whereas in rural areas, the waste (i.e., sewage) was often used as a resource in agriculture. The ancient method of disposal of waste by “dumping” it in the streets was eventually abandoned in favour of collection and removal from the cities by sanitation workers. Large-scale introduction of toilets and sewage systems during the 20th century resulted in an efficient transport of sewage from the cities to the waterways. At first, this approach worked well and markedly brought down the outbreaks of diseases. However, it became apparent that these measures were not sufficient, and that more active methods of treating the wastewaters in special treatment plants were needed. The first approach was to remove as much as possible of the solid material using mechanical filters. The filtered debris was then deposited in landfills. Successively, this filtering was improved by an approach called “primary treatment.” This approach involves an efficient removal of inorganic matter (sand, silt, gravel, etc.) as well as a sedimentation and/or flotation process to remove organic matter. A removal of 40 to 60% of the suspended solids and 20 to 40% of the biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅) is normally reached in this primary step (MS Encarta 96).

Continuing water quality problems, however, resulted in an additional requirement for “secondary treatment” of the wastewater. Using aeration, the microbiological decomposition of organic matter could be accelerated. For this, various methods, including trickling filters, activated sludge, and stabilisation ponds, were developed. With such methods, the content of organic matter in the effluent could be reduced by 60% to 85% (MS Encarta 96).

During the 1970s, “tertiary treatment” of the wastewater, i.e., phosphorus (P) removal, was introduced in Sweden in order to counteract eutrophication of watercourses receiving sewage effluent. The resultant precipitated sludge can be used as a fertilizer in agriculture, but is often put in a landfill due to high concentrations of various pollutants, including heavy metals. Severe eutrophication of coastal areas, especially the Baltic Sea, has led to the need for an even more efficient wastewater...
treatment (Anon. 1997). An “advanced wastewater treatment” involves not only the three steps mentioned but also additional steps to improve the quality of the effluents by further removal of nitrogen (N) (Nationalencyklopedin 1998). This improvement can be achieved using different methods, of which enhanced nitrification/denitrification is the most widely adopted (Balmér and Mattsson 1993).

Heavy metals, e.g., cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb) and chromium (Cr) are found in most soils. Due to atmospheric deposition and the extensive use of contaminated P fertilizers, the content of many heavy metals has increased in arable soils (Eriksson 1999). In Sweden, Cd is regarded as the main problem in this context since it is taken up by food crops in amounts that may cause health problems (Notter 1993). There is discussion in Sweden of whether growing willows could be an efficient way of taking up heavy metals, which could then be redirected from the human food chain (Eriksson 1996). The work has been focused on Cd, but screening for uptake and tolerance has been conducted for a large number of clones and heavy metals (Yasdani 1993).

General comments and aim of the paper

Since municipal wastes, especially wastewaters, can be regarded in many cases as resources rather than problems, there is increased interest in the use of wastewater for promotion of biomass production in natural systems (Aronsson and Pertz 1997). An “advanced wastewater treatment (Anon. 1997) involves not only the three steps mentioned but also additional steps to improve the quality of the effluents by further removal of nitrogen (N) (Nationalencyklopedin 1998). This improvement can be achieved using different methods, of which enhanced nitrification/denitrification is the most widely adopted (Balmér and Mattsson 1993).

Heavy metals, e.g., cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb) and chromium (Cr) are found in most soils. Due to atmospheric deposition and the extensive use of contaminated P fertilizers, the content of many heavy metals has increased in arable soils (Eriksson 1999). In Sweden, Cd is regarded as the main problem in this context since it is taken up by food crops in amounts that may cause health problems (Notter 1993). There is discussion in Sweden of whether growing willows could be an efficient way of taking up heavy metals, which could then be redirected from the human food chain (Eriksson 1996). The work has been focused on Cd, but screening for uptake and tolerance has been conducted for a large number of clones and heavy metals (Yasdani 1993).

The objective of the present paper is to give an overall description of using short-rotation willow coppice as a soil-plant system in which the retention capacity lies in the range of 100 to 200 kg N per hectare per year. Leaching losses of N from SRWC are usually negligible, which is interesting also from the perspective of decreasing the total leaching loads of N from arable land (Aronsson 2000). Thus, on each hectare of SRWC in southern Sweden, sewage from about 40 person equivalents (PE) could be treated. Due to freezing conditions, the wastewater produced during winter would have to be stored, adding additional costs for the vegetation filter method (see Economics of SRWC treatment, below). Under more favourable climate conditions, the cold season is shorter, plant growth is substantially higher, and consequently both demand and retention of plant nutrients are larger.

Municipal sludge treatment using SRWC

Conventional wastewater treatment plants produce considerable quantities of sludge that must be handled with care. There are strict regulations in many countries (Morsing 1994) concerning the use of sludge in agriculture and how the sludge has to be treated to decrease the hygienic risks (see Sanitary aspects of using SRWC as a vegetation filter, below). As shown in Table 2, municipal sludge has a strongly imbalanced nutrient composition compared to the optimal plant requirement. Therefore, dosing should not be based on the N-composition alone. However, sludge is high in P, also providing some organic N and humus to the soils. Cultivation of annual or semi-perennial crops often successively impoverishes arable soils, and the use of sludge as a fertilizer could counteract losses of organic matter. A problem in the use of sludge as a fertilizer is the presence of heavy metals, of which Cd is of most concern (see Soil remediation using SRWC, below). Moreover, stable organic compounds are usually also present in sludge. In Sweden, 5 to 10% of all sewage sludge is used as a fertilizer in SRWC. This approach is presently believed to be the “best” way of treating and utilizing municipal sludge. The main reason for this acceptance is that SRWC is a non-food crop, it is possible to control the flow of heavy metals in the system, and the long life-span of this crop (about 25 years) allows for the gradual degradation of potentially toxic organic compounds in the sludge.
Treatement of landfill leachates using SRWC

Despite the overall efforts toward becoming a recycling society, landfills are still necessary for disposal of wastes. Leachates from landfills result from precipitation, disposal of liquid waste and water originating from biological and chemical processes within the landfill. Dissolving of various chemical compounds of the material forms a solution that is often environmentally hazardous. The composition of leachates changes with time, depending on the landfill construction, type of waste deposited, and the ongoing processes. A wide spectrum of wastes is normally disposed of in a landfill, and the character of the generated leachates is highly variable. Landfill leachates are in most cases unsuitable for direct discharge into waterways because of their high content of dissolved organic matter, ammonium ($\text{NH}_4^+$), and their high ionic strength. The leachate problem accompanies landfills from their beginning until many decades or centuries after their closure. Landfill leachates are often treated in conventional wastewater treatment plants, but the overall treatment efficiency may be disturbed by the leachates, and also the costs for such procedures are considerable. In addition, sewage sludge from wastewater treatment plants that are receiving landfill leachates is not allowed for use on farmland in Sweden. When implementing alternative treatment methods, vegetation filters using SRWC have been shown to be of utmost importance (Roy 1999).

Normally, there are no intentions to restore a landfill, only to avoid the leachates from reaching and affecting the surrounding waterways in an uncontrolled way. Therefore, a method to decrease the net discharge of leachates from the landfill area would be sufficient and contain the hazardous compounds within the area. When using a vegetation filter, the landfill leachates must be collected and stored during the winter season and then used for irrigation of the plants during the growing season. With this method, plants must have a high evapotranspiration capacity, which is the case for willows (Persson 1995, Lindroth and Bååth 1999). In addition, the plants must tolerate low oxygen levels in the root zone and a high ionic strength. In Sweden, there are more than 30 facilities where vegetation filters of SRWC are used for treatment of landfill leachates. The basic functions of such systems can be summarised as follows:

- Decreasing the leachate formation is accomplished by introducing a vegetative cap on sealed parts of the landfills. The evapotranspiration from a SRWC crop is substantially higher than from most other plant communities (Persson 1995).
- When irrigated with leachates (stored during winter) using sprinklers, evapotranspiration can be maximised by SRWC (Aronsson 1996) equalling annual precipitation in most climate regions of Europe, thus permitting no net discharge from the landfill area.
- Plants take up N and other elements in the leachates and facilitate transformation and binding of elements in the soil.

**Soil remediation using SRWC**

It became obvious in the early 1990s that some of the willow clones used in the Swedish SRWC programme were efficient in taking up Cd, while others were not. This finding was important because the Cd-accumulation in the southern Swedish arable topsoils since 1900 has been about 150 g Cd per hectare (Notter 1993) and is still around 0.7 g Cd per hectare per year according to Table 3 (Andersson 1992) except in the very last few years. Intake of Cd through food is believed to be a serious health problem and the prospect of decreasing the Cd-concentrations of arable soils, and thereby also in food, has resulted in substantial research efforts (Perttu et al. 2001). The following questions have been addressed:

- Is the redistribution of Cd from the subsoil to the topsoil of such a magnitude that problems may arise for future agricultural crops?
- Can high biomass production increase the Cd-uptake with better soil remediation as a result?
- How large an influence does the extent of Cd accumulation in the leaves have on the output and redistribution of Cd from subsoil to topsoil?
Table 3. Annual average Cd-balance on southern Swedish farmland (Andersson 1992).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input of Cd (g ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Output of Cd (g ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manure, commercial fertilizers, lime</td>
<td>Harvest products (including straw)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decomposition</td>
<td>Leaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total input</td>
<td>Total output</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Will the Cd-content in the stumps be such a large problem that they must be removed after the final harvest, thus causing considerably higher costs compared to chopping them with a cutter and leaving them in the field?

The results of our research with SRWC show the following (Perttu et al. 2001):
- In most cases, there is only a relatively small amount of Cd redistributed from the subsoil to the topsoil in SRWC. This does not result in an accumulation of Cd in the topsoil, especially because the redistribution is always exceeded by the removal via stem harvest.
- It is possible to increase the Cd-uptake (and Cd-removal) with certain willow clones through optimisation of the stem production, especially if the concentration in the soil is high. When comparing different clones an optimisation of the combined effects of production capacity and uptake ability must be considered for the best remediation result.
- Through clonal selection, it is possible to maximise or minimize the Cd-transport to the aboveground plant components. There are clones that store relatively more Cd in the stems than in the leaves and vice versa (Landberg and Greger 1994).
- In commercial SRWC, it is not necessary to remove the stumps after the final harvest because Cd remains in them. Through stem harvest 30 to 320 g Cd per hectare will be removed in each rotation period (normally 25 years), which exceeds the present net input of Cd of less than 20 g during the same period. However, if a more rapid soil remediation process is desirable, the Cd-removal can be increased by 10-35 g per hectare in each rotation period if the stumps are also removed. Notice that the variations are large, depending mainly on the clone used but also on the Cd-concentration and the pH of the soil.

Sanitary aspects using SRWC as a vegetation filter

A large number of species and strains of bacteria, parasites, and viruses may be present in domestic wastewater (Rose 1986, Bitton 1994). These organisms frequently contaminate drinking water, because of leaking sewage pipe systems or inadequate located septic tanks, enabling them to reach the groundwater (Keswick and Gerba 1980, Stenström 1996). Once they reach the groundwater, they can survive for long periods and viruses can be transported long distances, i.e., several hundred metres (Schaub and Sorber 1977, Yates and Yates 1988, Sinton et al. 1997). Therefore, when using domestic wastewater for irrigation of crops, the risk of harmful micro-organisms reaching the groundwater must be considered. Bacteria and parasites are in most cases efficiently filtered out in a soil, or a constructed sand medium due to their large size, whereas viruses have been found to be more mobile in the same conditions (Keswick and Gerba 1980, Jansons et al. 1989, Bitton 1994). Viruses are also of special concern because of the low dose needed for infection, often as low as 1 to 10 virus particles (Rose 1986). Therefore, wastewater irrigation should be avoided in groundwater recharge areas if the groundwater is used for human consumption. Viruses can be efficiently retained on sandy, non-structured soils, permitting safe wastewater irrigation (Carlander et al. 2000). Conventional sprinkler irrigation systems are highly unsuitable due to the risk of spreading pathogens by aerosols. Instead, drip irrigation flooding or low-pressure and low-mounted sprinklers can be used.

Economics of SRWC treatment

During the past decade, regulations requiring N removal from wastewaters have resulted in substantial efforts and investments in Sweden. In some places, vegetation filter systems have been adopted instead of more expensive conventional advanced treatment methods. An economic comparison between a conventional treatment method and a vegetation filter system was presented by Rosenqvist et al. (1997). The comparison was made for a number of different options, depending on the prerequisites and investment needs, and for relatively small (200 to 2000 PE) municipalities.

The alternatives studied included: i) conventional P or P+N treatment during the whole year, ii) conventional P or P+N treatment during the winter season combined with vegetation filter (SRWC) during the growing season (here presumed to be six months), and iii) vegetation filter treatment with SRWC including storage for six months in constructable ponds. The results showed that the costs for conventional I and P+N treatments lie between 4.5 to 9 and 8 to 20 US$/kg N, respectively (Table 4)³. The higher values include full investment costs for tertiary and advanced treatment, while the lower values presuppose only smaller modifications of existing facilities. The costs for the vegetation filter system (including investment, operational, and capital costs) vary between 10 and 13 US$/kg N for the whole year option (storage during winter) and between 5 to 10.5 and 8 to 19 US$/kg N for the combined alternatives. Note that the costs are recalculated on the basis of N removal. Thus, a vegetation filter system in Sweden can compete well economically with conventional advanced N treatment. Sensitivity analyses showed that, for instance, the dosing of wastewater greatly affects the costs, and that high doses permit lower investment costs for irrigation pipes.

Table 4. Economic comparison between conventional methods and vegetation filter systems for wastewater treatment in Sweden (Rosenqvist et al. 1997). The costs are recalculated to US$ using a rate of 1 US$ = 9 SEK.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Average cost US$ (kg N⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional P treatment during whole year</td>
<td>4.5 - 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional P and N treatment during whole year</td>
<td>8 - 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation filter treatment during summer (6 month) plus conventional P treatment during winter (6 month)</td>
<td>6 - 10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation filter treatment during summer (6 month) plus conventional P and N treatment during winter (6 month)</td>
<td>8 - 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation filter treatment during summer (6 month) plus storage of wastewater during winter (6 month)</td>
<td>10 - 13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

³Conversion from Swedish to US currency, 1 US$ = 9 SEK.
Similarly, there are lower costs for treating wastewater with high N-concentration than with low. The calculations presumed that irrigation with wastewater would increase the biomass production by about 2 tonnes dry matter per hectare per year. This estimate was for the southern third of Sweden, but in regions where rain is more of a limiting factor, the biomass production might increase more.

When using municipal sludge instead of wastewater, there were also several advantages, even if the positive effect of water on productivity is lacking. A study by Hahn (1992) shows that when sludge is treated and utilized in SRWC compared to depositing it in a landfill, it is economically favourable both for the municipality and the farmer (Table 5). The total revenue of applying 5 tonnes of sludge (dry weight) per hectare is almost 700 US$, in Sweden it would be split 53/47% between the municipality and farmer.

The use of ash from bio-fuel combustion as a fertilizer on arable, peat or forested land is also favourable for the municipality and the landowner (Vattenfall 1992). Table 6 shows that, compared with deposition on landfills, spreading of one tonne of ash (dry weight) on the types of land mentioned above can give a total revenue of about 60 US$ per tonne, again in Sweden split 53/47% between the municipality and farmer.

### Relevant research on vegetation filters

In Sweden, the research concerning vegetation filters of SRWC has been focused on N-retention and N-transformation and on sanitary aspects. The results show that the N-leaching from wastewater-irrigated willow vegetation filters can be very high during the establishment phase, i.e., the year of planting (Aronsson 2000, Aronsson et al. 2000). Thus, during the establishment, neither wastewater nor commercial fertilizers should be applied to the crop. However, once established, N-leaching from willow vegetation filters is low, permitting high inputs of N-rich wastewater. Within reasonable limits, the N-leaching is independent of irrigation rates and thus, dosing of wastewater should be based on N-loads. The N-retention in a willow vegetation filter (up to about 200 kg N per ha per year) is due to plant uptake and incorporation into woody tissues (including harvestable shoots), and to a build-up of the organic matter pool in the soil. In addition, gaseous N-losses primarily due to denitrification are substantial.

The sanitary risk of using wastewater for irrigation of SRWC has been studied from the point of view of groundwater contamination by viruses (Aronsson 2000, Carlander et al. 2000). Preferential flow of water in cracks and fissures can accelerate the transport of viruses applied to a structured clay soil, and viruses may reach the groundwater within a few hours. However, viruses are efficiently retained in a sandy, non-structured soil, mainly as a result of strong electrostatic interaction between viruses and soil colloids. A number of studies concerning zoonotic (i.e., via animals) transfer of pathogens have been performed but remain to be reported.

### Full-scale vegetation filter systems in Sweden

There are presently more than 40 vegetation filter systems with SRWC in Sweden (Roy 1999). Most of these systems are designed for treatment of landfill leachates, but there are four large (>10 ha) systems for wastewater treatment. One of these is a 76-ha system designed for treatment of supernatant from dewatering of sewage sludge. In the latter system, about 25 000 m³ of supernatant containing some 20 000 kg N will be treated. The very high N-concentration of the supernatant (in the order of 800 mg N per litre) allows for low-cost storage during winter, making the vegetation filter system considerably less expensive than conventional engineered systems.

### Conclusions and perspectives

From our experience and results to date, the following conclusions can be made:
• Nitrogen leaching from conventional SRWC is minimal and an extensive cropping of SRWC would decrease the nitrogen leaching to waterways and groundwater.

• SRWC vegetation filters are efficient in taking up nutrients from wastewater. The production in stands irrigated with wastewater is expected to be higher than in conventionally managed stands, depending on the fertilisation effect, and on the irrigation effect. The wastewater should be distributed using drip irrigation, flooding, or low-pressure, low-mounted sprinklers to avoid aerosol spreading of pathogens.

• Municipal sludge can be used as fertilizer for SRWC. This approach is probably one of the “best” ways to utilise sludge without the risk of different toxic compounds entering the human food chain.

• SRWC can be used as vegetation filters for treatment of municipal landfill leachates. The main objective of such treatment is not to purify the leachates, but rather to keep the pollutants within the landfill area by increased evapotranspiration.

• Vegetation filters of SRWC can be used for soil remediation, especially with cadmium (Cd). A net removal of up to 12 g Cd per ha per year has been achieved in the Swedish studies. However, Cd-rich wood ashes must be handled with caution in order not to release the Cd back into the environment.

• Treatment of wastewater, sludge and leachates using vegetation filters of SRWC can compete economically with conventional treatment in Sweden.
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