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SUMMARY

The ability to reliably and reproducibly measure any protein of the human proteome in any tissue or cell type would be transformative for understanding systems-level properties as well as specific pathways in physiology and disease. Here, we describe the generation and verification of a compendium of highly specific assays that enable quantification of 99.7% of the 20,277 annotated human proteins by the widely accessible, sensitive, and robust targeted mass spectrometric method selected reaction monitoring, SRM. This human SRMAtlas provides definitive coordinates that conclusively identify the respective peptide in biological samples. We report data on 166,174 proteotypic peptides providing multiple, independent assays to quantify any human protein and numerous spliced variants, non-synonymous mutations, and post-translational modifications. The data are freely accessible as a resource at http://www.srmatlas.org/, and we demonstrate its utility by examining the network response to inhibition of cholesterol synthesis in liver cells and to docetaxel in prostate cancer lines.

INTRODUCTION

The ability to accurately and reproducibly detect and quantify any protein of the human proteome is a main objective in the life sciences. Achieving it would significantly contribute toward understanding the biochemical base of living cells (Edwards et al., 2011). In contrast to the human genome, which has been determined in its entirety, the composition of the human proteome is still poorly defined. The prevalence of alternative splicing and post-translational modifications increase the complexity to an as-yet-unknown number of different proteoforms, and the annotation of protein-coding regions and experimental evidence for their validity are still being refined. Therefore, a well-defined protein sequence database with annotated functional information and the identification and quantification of at least one protein from every protein-coding gene offer a pragmatic and useful definition of a complete proteome (Mann et al., 2013).

The detection of proteins can be accomplished through mass spectrometric and affinity reagent based methods. The Human Protein Atlas, a systematic exploration of the human proteome using antibody-based reagents, is a unique effort attempting to characterize all human protein-coding genes (Uhlén et al., 2005). Since the initial release in 2005, the Protein Atlas evolved into a knowledge base that includes a diverse collection of 25,039 monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies, collectively targeting 17,005 proteins corresponding to ~84% of the predicted proteome (v.15).

For the mass spectrometric exploration of the proteome, a range of techniques have been developed, and they can be broadly grouped into data-dependent acquisition (DDA, also known as shotgun or discovery proteomics) and targeted mass spectrometry (MS) methods. Common to both methods is that the sample proteins are first converted into peptides by enzymatic digestion. They differ in the manner in which the mass spectrometer (MS) is used to analyze the resulting peptide mixtures. The majority of proteomic studies rely on the DDA strategy that selects peptide precursor ions for collision-induced dissociation (CID) from signals detected in a survey scan. The resulting fragment ion spectra are assigned to a peptide sequence by peptide spectrum matching (PSM), and proteins are inferred from confidently identified peptides. This workflow allows the identification of thousands of proteins in a sample and provides quantification via the presence of stable isotope-labeled reference peptides or through label-free methods (Bantscheff et al., 2007). However, the biased precursor selection of the most abundant peptide ions in complex samples by DDA limits the reproducibility of data generated in repeat analyses. Also, to
Figure 1. Human SRMAtlas Development

The scheme outlines the workflow steps to generate SRM assays for every human protein. Peptides were selected for 20,277 proteins in the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database as well as for spliced isoforms, SNPs, and modifications. Selection of PTPs was an iterative process by mining MS observed peptides in PeptideAtlas and the use of prediction tools. The PABST algorithm evaluated sequence constraints and ranked observed and predicted peptides, the highest scoring peptides for each protein were selected for SRM assay development. Peptides were individually synthesized and pooled in sets of 96. Peptides were analyzed on an Agilent 6530 Q-TOF with five CEs to acquire high-resolution MS/MS spectra to create spectral libraries and CE plots. SRM coordinates were extracted from the spectral library to acquire chromatographic traces on an Agilent 6460 QQQ. SRM assays were also developed on a Sciex QTrap 5500, upon (legend continued on next page)
reach high proteome coverage, enormous numbers of peptides need to be sampled, which, in turn, causes significant technical and computational challenges at the level of PSM and protein interference (Deutsch et al., 2015b). Therefore, DDA MS is well suited to discover the components of a sample but less so for the generation of reproducible quantitative data across many samples.

Selected reaction monitoring (SRM, also named multiple reaction monitoring [MRM]) instead is a targeted, quantitative technique that is characterized by a lower limit of detection, a wider dynamic range and increased reproducibility. SRM is primarily performed on triple quadrupole (QQQ) MS instruments where the first quadrupole (Q1) filters the precursor ions of a peptide, the second quadrupole (Q2) provides CID, and the third quadrupole (Q3) isolates predetermined fragment ions. This process results in a quantifiable signal represented as a chromatographic trace. SRM is referred to as targeted approach as only predetermined ions are measured. The two-level mass selection and the non-scanning mode translate into increased specificity and sensitivity and, in presence of stable isotope-labeled standards, in precise quantification. The pair of mass to charge (m/z) values that is isolated in Q1 and Q3 is referred to as a transition, and a set of transitions that determine a peptide signature is, in combination with the peptide’s elution time, termed SRM assay. SRM has the unique capability of rapidly quantifying targeted proteins, their variants, and modifications through the detection of suitable proteotypic surrogates as a multiplexed and cost-efficient alternative to antibody-based assays. In addition, peptide affinity reagents can be used to specifically capture analytes and enhance sensitivity (Anderson et al., 2004). SRM has been applied for decades in the pharmaceutical industry to quantify small molecules (Baty and Robinson, 1977) and evolved recently into an established technique in the field of proteomics, due to advanced technology and reproducibility across instrument platforms and laboratories (Addona et al., 2009).

However, SRM requires defining a priori a set of target proteins, optimal peptides, and assay parameters. This is not a trivial task as not every peptide is suitable for SRM, and assays need to be experimentally determined from selected peptides. The major challenge of SRM is the initial effort to develop high-quality SRM assays, which is, despite all progress, still a time-intensive process. Once an assay is developed, it can be applied perpetually in a variety of studies.

Recently, we developed SRM assays for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Picotti et al., 2009, 2013), *Streptococcus pyogenes* (Karlsson et al., 2012), and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Schubert et al., 2013) proteomes and successfully applied these assays to a wide range of protein studies in the respective species (Ebbhardt et al., 2015; Picotti and Aebersold, 2012). We and several other laboratories have also developed SRM assays for human proteins, typically for a small number of proteins in the context of a specific biological study. The targeted approach has progressively been applied toward the quantification of low abundant proteins in complex matrices, the verification of biomarker candidates, and has proved to be successful in clinical settings (Orci et al., 2016; Gillette and Carr, 2013; Hüttenthal et al., 2012; Kennedy et al., 2014; Surinova et al., 2015). However, for the human species no proteome-wide assay resource has been available and experimental protein research has therefore remained substantially limited in scope.

As a consequence of these factors, the majority of protein research is still focused on the same relatively small subset of proteins for which assays are readily available. Strikingly, the population of proteins most frequently reported in the scientific literature has not changed significantly since the publication of the human genome and thus the definition, in principle, of the proteome (Edwards et al., 2011). This indicates that the realization of the benefits of genomic knowledge for experimental protein research critically depends on the availability of assays supporting the quantification of any human protein.

In the present study, we developed a complete proteome-centric database for the targeted identification and quantification of any human protein of interest via SRM. We present a unique compendium of SRM assays for essentially the entire human proteome consisting of verified high-resolution, high mass-accuracy MS fragment ions of each proteotypic peptide, the chromatographic behavior of each peptide as an SRM trace, and the relative quantitative response, all of which constitute an SRM assay. We have compiled the data into a freely available web-accessible database providing multiple SRM assays for each protein, integrated with extensive bioinformatic knowledge bases to establish a resource of assays to unambiguously identify and quantify any protein of the human proteome. We expect that this resource will significantly advance protein based experimental biology because any human protein can now, in principle, be quantified in any sample. We also expect that the availability of reliable assays for the human proteome will significantly contribute to increase the reproducibility of research results on the human proteome.

**RESULTS**

To generate SRM assays for the entire human proteome, we followed the process schematically illustrated in Figure 1. It consists of defining the target proteome, selection of proteotypic peptides, development of SRM assays via synthetic peptides, and compiling the data into a web-accessible resource. Here, we describe each step of the process.

**Step 1: Defining the Target Proteome**

We used the 20,277 human protein sequences described in the manually annotated and reviewed UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database (http://www.uniprot.org/, release 2010-05) (Boutet et al., 2007) as reference to select peptides for each protein (see also Supplemental Information). We paid explicit attention to ensure that membrane bound proteins, large multi-domain proteins...
and protein activation events resulting in non-tryptic cleavage sites were equally represented. We extended this protein set to address known protein isoforms, peptides containing SNPs and N-glycosylation sites. A database of 20,277 proteins and 14,677 isoforms formed the basis for the SRMAtlas (Figure 1, step 1).

**Step 2: Selection of Proteotypic Peptides**

The selection of peptides that unambiguously identify each human protein is a key step in the development of SRM assays. We aimed to select at least the five best peptides for every human protein-coding gene using several criteria. Primarily, we chose proteotypic peptides (PTPs) (Kuster et al., 2005) due to their high likelihood of being detected in subsequent measurements. We considered physiochemical properties including length, hydrophobicity, and charge state, limitations in chemical synthesis, reactive amino acid residues susceptible to oxidation, pyroglutamate formation, and deamidation, and sequences that are likely modified by post-translational modifications or contain commonly occurring SNPs. These criteria are important and often overlooked in selecting PTPs, as SRM assay development and quantification generally depend on chemically synthesized peptides. To select the optimal set of PTPs that constitute the SRMAtlas, we preferably relied on empirical data. For those proteins for which no empirical data were available, we computationally predicted the optimal set of peptides.

**Selection of Peptides from Empirical Data**

PeptideAtlas (http://www.peptideatlas.org/) (Desiere et al., 2005) is a major MS repository that accepts raw MS data acquired from biological samples generated by the scientific community and re-analyzes all MS data in a consistent process, including statistical validation of the results, using the Trans-Proteomic Pipeline (TPP) (Deutsch et al., 2015a). This database provides evidence of the most consistently detected peptides per protein and their confidence detection at very low false-discovery rates (FDR, usually ~0.0002 at the PSM level corresponding to a 1% protein FDR). At the time we specified the peptide set underlying this study, the human PeptideAtlas (build 2010-05 internal) contained 106,184 distinct peptides identified in over 300 different experiments encompassing 59,142 MS runs of human cell lines, tissues, and fluids.

In a first step, we investigated how many of the 20,277 UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot proteins were represented by one to five distinct MS observed peptides in the human PeptideAtlas. 9,946 proteins were observed by at least one peptide while only 5,319 proteins (26% of the proteome) were identified by five or more distinct peptides. Taken together, this demonstrated that observed peptides alone did not achieve full coverage of the predicted human proteome and that 51% of the human proteome had not yet been detected in MS approaches (Figure 2, blue bars; Table S1). Next, we screened the human PeptideAtlas for the best PTPs and annotated each PeptideAtlas observed peptide with an empirical suitability score (ESS). The ESS takes into account the peptide probability, the number of repeat identifications of the respective peptide, and the selection criteria specified above (Figure 1, step 2). The higher the ESS, the more suitable the peptide was deemed for assay development.

**Selection of Predicted Peptides**

For proteins that had no empirical evidence of being detected by MS or less than five PTPs in PeptideAtlas, we used published and in-house algorithms to predict the best candidate peptides for assay development (Figure 1, step 2). All algorithms provide a score based on the sequence and physicochemical properties of a peptide. Although each algorithm performed reasonably well, the set of peptides with the highest scores determined by each predictor overlapped less than expected. Therefore, we developed a predictive suitability score (PSS) that allowed us to computationally calculate MS suitable sequences for the entire human proteome (Z.S., unpublished data). Briefly, we re-trained PeptideSieve (Mallick et al., 2007) and devised a composite scoring scheme considering the results of the individual algorithms to complement the observed peptides for assay development.

Subsequently, we applied the PeptideAtlas best SRM transition (PABST) algorithm (E.W.D., unpublished data) to calculate an adjusted suitability score for both observed and predicted peptides by penalizing unfavorable sequence characteristics described above using a multiplicative weight scoring system. Finally, PABST ranked the adjusted scores of empirical observed and computational predicted peptides, and unique sequences with the highest scores were selected for SRM assay development (Figure 1, step 2; Table S2).

This process was used to select at least five peptides per protein if allowed by sequence constraints. For higher molecular weight proteins (>50 kDa), we expanded the selection by dividing each protein sequence into 10-kDa segments and selected suitable peptides to provide assays for protein domains. For a small number of peptides, we allowed less strict criteria with regard to length, hydrophobicity, and charge state,
to be able to select several peptides per protein and ensure as many proteins as possible are considered for SRM assay development. While we penalized sequences with unfavorable motifs and reactive amino acids, these were not entirely excluded, as otherwise assays could not have been developed for several proteins.

**Step 3: Extension of Peptide Selection for Protein Isoforms, SNPs, and N-Glycosylated Proteins**

To augment the assay development beyond a representative product of the 20,277 UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot proteins, we selected peptides identifying splice and sequence variants and N-glycosylation sites (Figure 3). We attempted to select at least one peptide to specifically identify splice variants described in UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot Varsplic. Protein isoforms originating from differentially spliced versions of a particular mRNA are usually not characterized by several unique peptides, but with our selection approach we chose 11,309 peptides that allow the identification and quantification of unique splice forms. Further, we selected all suitable C-terminal peptides resulting in 6,820 additional peptides for the 20,277 proteins and 1,937 peptides for spliced variants. To account for sequence polymorphisms, we extended the selection to include major SNPs resulting in non-synonymous mutations. We chose 3,662 peptides considering SNPs with a population frequency greater than 30% (=1,831 SNPs) using NCBI dbSNP (build 131) and selected 3,094 peptides (=1,547 SNPs) that fulfill the peptide selection criteria. To identify peptides representing N-glycosylated proteins, 5,199 membrane proteins (Fagerberg et al., 2010), 1,748 secreted proteins (da Cunha et al., 2009), and 784 membrane proteins from 47 tissue types were used to select 10,938 peptides spanning N-glycosites located in the extracellular protein domain. Finally, for selecting peptides representing peptide hormones, we targeted both the standard UniProt sequence as well as the mature form of these proteins considering their respective proteolytic cleavage sites to provide SRM assays for both the pre/pro-hormone and the activated form. We selected 142 peptides (124 distinct sequences) representing 129 proteins.

Overall, with this iterative and comprehensive selection process, we determined 166,174 peptide sequences representing 20,255 proteins, which constitute 99.9% of the predicted human proteome as defined in UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot. For 18,010 proteins (88.8% of the human proteome), we selected the best five or greater PTPs; for 19,505 proteins (96.2%), we selected the best three or greater PTPs, and for 19,985 proteins (98.6% of the human proteome) the best two or greater PTPs (Figure 2, red bars). Only 22 proteins remained inaccessible by tryptic peptides that pass the selection criteria and synthesis requirements; thus, assays could not be developed for these proteins (Figure 2; Table S3).

**Step 4: Development of SRM Assays and a Complete Human Peptide Library**

To generate SRM assays, the peptides selected above were chemically synthesized and used to generate fragment ion spectra that were processed into consensus spectra and ultimately SRM assays.

**Generation of Fragment Ion Spectra**

The 166,174 selected peptide sequences were individually chemically synthesized and used to generate high-resolution, high-mass accuracy reference fragment ion spectra. To process the large number of peptides, we established an assay development pipeline including a robotics platform and multiple commonly used MS instruments duplicated at two geographical sites. Pools of 96 peptides each were analyzed on a quadrupole TOF MS (Agilent 6530 Q-TOF) in a data-directed approach using exclusive lists based on the expected charge state of a peptide as guidance for precursor selection. To increase the robustness of the fragment ion spectra, we implemented a data acquisition strategy in which each precursor was fragmented exclusively at five different collision energies (CEs), and at least five MS/MS spectra per CE were recorded (Figure 1, step 4). The simultaneous acquisition of multiple CEs obviates the need for subsequent CE optimization, a time-consuming aspect in the process of developing SRM assays. A set of peptides was used for strict retention time (RT) standardization across multiple MS instruments and to provide a catalog with observed RTs and iRT values to enable multiplexed SRM analysis (Figure 1; Figure S1).

**Generation of SRM Assays**

To convert the fragment ion spectra into SRM assays, we subsequently generated consensus spectra. 3,250,015 spectra from the 6530 Q-TOF (base CE only) were confidently assigned to 149,265 peptide sequences out of the 166,174 synthesized peptides (89.8%). The five CE events for each peptide and their high-quality PSMs provided 14,970,896 spectra for use in monitoring differential fragmentation at multiple low and high CE values. We then generated consensus spectral libraries from each CE event to provide plots for every peptide and charge state that visualize optimal CE values for each individual fragment ion. The base CE, i.e., the CE value calculated from the default CE versus precursor ion mass function, provided the highest abundance signal for the majority of fragment ions. However, for some fragments the selection of a lower or higher CE than the calculated base CE resulted in increased fragment ion signal intensities.

Next, we extracted from the 6530 Q-TOF base CE spectral library for each peptide and charge state SRM assay coordinates to acquire the peptides’ chromatographic traces on a triple quadrupole MS (Agilent 6460 QQQ). Fragment ions with the highest signal intensities and above the precursor m/z were preferably selected to obtain assays with optimal sensitivity and selectivity. SRM chromatographic traces were successfully acquired for 126,712 peptides corresponding to a success rate of 84.9% based on the 6530 Q-TOF verified peptides that served as input to generate these SRM assays.

In addition, we determined the SRM signatures for all peptides on a quadrupole-linear ion trap MS (Sciex QTrap 5500) instrument by acquiring SRM traces and full MS/MS spectra upon the detection of a transition (Figure 1, step 4). We generated a QTrap 5500 spectral library from 1,789,651 high-quality PSMs that were assigned to 149,961 peptide sequences (90.2% of the human proteome).

**SRM Assay Success**

Whereas excellent peptide recoveries were achieved with each quadrupole type instrument, the combined recovery exceeded...
the results from each instrument type. In total, the recovery yielded 158,015 peptides with verified fragment ion spectra and SRM assay coordinates corresponding to 95.1% of all selected peptides (Figure 3A). Peptides of seven to 20 amino acids length constitute 91.4% of the Human SRMAtlas and were identified with a 96% success rate, while peptides with 21–30 amino acids resulted in an 83% success rate in qualifying fragmentation spectra. Peptides with an expected precursor charge state of 0 to 40,000 were selected for targeted SRM analysis. The 6530 Q-TOF-extracted coordinates served as input for the 6460 QQQ-derived SRM assays with a success of 84.9%. 6530 Q-TOF and QTrap 5500 combined result in 158,015 targeted assays constituting 95.1% of the selected peptides.

(B–G) Selected peptides (red) and their assay success rate (blue) in percentages are displayed by (B) peptide length, (C) expected charge state, (D) hydrophobicity as SSRCalc value, (E) amino acid, (F) N-terminal amino acid, and (G) C-terminal amino acid. See also Figure S2.

Figure 3. SRM Assay Success
(A) Number of developed SRM assays per instrument type in comparison to the number of synthesized peptides. The 6530 Q-TOF-extracted coordinates served as input for the 6460 QQQ-derived SRM assays with a success of 84.9%. 6530 Q-TOF and QTrap 5500 combined result in 158,015 targeted assays constituting 95.1% of the selected peptides.
Assessing the Peptide Selection Success in the Context of Recent Public Data

Recent technical advances in high-resolution MS and efforts to discover complete proteomes of mammalian cells and tissues have led to a substantial increase in discovery proteomics data. The state of the human proteome as viewed through PeptideAtlas in 2015 (Deutsch et al., 2015b), which incorporates data from large-scale proteomic measurements, reports ∼133 million high-quality PSMs, identifying more than 1 million distinct peptides that collectively represent 14,070 (70%) confidently identified human proteins, 5% ambiguous and 9% redundant detections, leaving 16% (3,166 proteins) undetected. Given a large number of peptides discovered since the peptide selection for the SRMAtlas was performed, we retrospectively investigated the success of selecting suitable peptides that were observed in the recent PeptideAtlas and were not available in the initial selection database. We found that 84% of the newly observed peptides, that fulfill the selection criteria described above, were selected for the comprehensive human peptide SRM assay development. Further, we ranked all observed peptides based on spectral count and determined that we selected 85% of the most abundant peptides by using our predictive algorithm, indicating the robustness of the computational peptide selection algorithms used.

Step 5: Data Access through the Human SRMAtlas Resource

With the intent to facilitate life science research, we developed SRMAtlas (http://www.srmatlas.org/), a freely available resource providing unlimited access to this unique compendium of targeted assays. SRMAtlas Application

To demonstrate the utility of the SRMAtlas resource, we carried out two studies. In study 1, we chose cellular cholesterol...
regulation as an example for a clinically relevant pathway that can be perturbed using drugs. The transcription factor SREBP2 induces expression of genes in the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway if the endogenous levels of cholesterol are depleted by inhibition with statins (Goldstein and Brown, 2015). A drug-induced gene module enriched for SREBP target genes was identified that also contained unknown targets of this pathway (Iskar et al., 2013). Hence, the objective of our test case was 2-fold: (1) to perform, using the SRMAtlas as a resource, a systematic proteomic quantification of enzymes in the cholesterol synthesis pathway upon drug treatment, and (2) to assess if the regulation of putative SREBP target genes identified in Iskar et al. (2013) translates to the protein level upon classical perturbation of the SREBP pathway with statin.

To test for differential drug-induced regulation of protein levels, we selected the two liver cell lines Huh7 and HepG2, treated them with lipoprotein-deficient serum (LPDS) and atorvastatin and subsequently quantified the relative abundance of target proteins using the assays obtained from the SRMAtlas.

We targeted 64 proteins with the SRMAtlas assays and unequivocally quantified one to three proteotypic peptides for 33 proteins (74 peptides total) in unfractonated total cell lysate of Huh7 and HepG2 cells. After perturbation with LPDS and atorvastatin, 32 out of the 33 proteins showed regulation (Figure 5A; Table S5). This included detecting peptides for 18 out of the 22 enzymes in the cholesterol synthesis pathway (Figure 5B).

All enzymes of the cholesterol synthesis pathway, except of LBR, increased their abundance upon stimulation of SREBP2. HMGCR, FDTF1, and DHCR7 showed the strongest response with an up to 16-fold increase in abundance. The measured SRM chromatograms of peptide TQNLPNCQLISR and LFSASEFEDPLVGEDTER from protein FDTF1 show the difference in signal abundance between treated and untreated cells as representative examples (Figure 5C). The absence in regulation of LBR confirmed a previous report showing no increased LBR expression in HepG2 cells upon SREBP activation (Bennati et al., 2006), and LBR was also not present in the co-regulated module (Iskar et al., 2013). In addition to the proteins in the cholesterol synthesis pathway, the additional 14 proteins that were part of the targeted gene module and present in other cellular pathways also changed substantially their expression. Most of these proteins are not established SREBP targets, and this represents therefore an important confirmation of their regulation downstream of SREBP. Interestingly, the response in Huh7 and HepG2 cells differed for some proteins, and thus the proteins could be divided into clusters based on their response to the drug treatment (Figure 5A). Cluster I consisted of proteins that were increased similarly in both cell lines and contained most of the enzymes in the cholesterol synthesis pathway. The proteins in cluster II were mostly regulated in HepG2 cells and contained proteins present in the mevalonate pathway, the first part of the cholesterol biosynthesis. Hence, using the SRMAtlas it was possible to efficiently profile the changes in protein abundance along a whole pathway, to confirm the co-regulation of novel putative SREBP target genes and to examine the relationship of protein regulation in different pathways.

In study 2, we measured the effect of docetaxel treatment on three differentially responsive prostate cancer cell lines, LNCaP, DU145, and PC3. To select target proteins, we first determined a transcriptional time course response by microarray analysis. Prostate cancer is a leading cause of mortality in males. While many men present with localized and curable disease, a large number of deaths are driven by the development of metastatic prostate cancer and low curative options. Docetaxel is the first line drug treatment for metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer, but ~50% of patients develop resistance (Antonarakis and Armstrong, 2011). Docetaxel acts mainly through the significant uptake in cells and the inhibition of microtubule function leading to mitotic arrest in the cell-cycle and cell death. While the main action of taxanes like docetaxel is in overall cell cycle arrest, there are many unknown activities of the drug that contribute to its antitumor effects.

Performing microarray analysis of mRNA transcripts, we identified a dysregulated network of genes associated with docetaxel perturbation of the cell cycle and used SRMAtlas assays to target the corresponding protein products at four time points post-treatment (0–72 hr) and in untreated controls to investigate comparative proteome and mRNA transcript abundance changes over time in each of these three cell lines. We targeted 36 proteins spanning nuclear proteins, cytosolic and membrane proteins with SRMAtlas assays, and unambiguously quantified 33 proteins with one to four proteotypic peptides each (87 peptides total, HIST2H2AC through shared peptides) in unfractonated total cell lysates of these prostate cancer cell lines along the time course. Analysis of the combined mRNA and protein abundance data were overall in agreement with each other, showing larger abundance changes at 48 and 72 hr compared to 8 and 24 hr but also highlighted the differences of the three cell lines and some discordance for mRNA and protein abundance involved in the cell-cycle response (Figure 6; Table S5). Cluster analysis also highlighted differences in mRNA and protein abundance especially for later time points (Figure S4). These include concordantly decreasing abundance in proteins involved in cell cycle, DNA repair, and nucleotide base synthesis (RRM2, RFC3, TMYS, and MCMs, and UBE2C). Discordance between mRNA and protein abundance was observed for scaffolding and structural stabilization proteins (KI23 and NUSAP1). These results detail regulation within the cell to stabilize cells undergoing stress and the concordant reduction in proteins involved in normal cell-cycle action. The difference in timescales shows the effect in transcript abundance occurring first, followed by reduction in protein abundance as would be expected in normal transcription/translation timescales. Of note, the discordance of the Kinesin KIF23 abundance at the protein level for DU145 and PC3 is in agreement with previous studies detailing kinesin overexpression and increased resistance to docetaxel in breast cancer (De et al., 2009) and glioma cells (Takahashi et al., 2012). Kinesins are key components in spindle movements during the cell cycle and can presumably meliorate the action of docetaxel. Inhibition of the kinesin complex or key members may interfere with the resistance mechanism to docetaxel and highlight a possible avenue for therapeutic intervention. Additionally, with the deployment of SRM assays for KIF23, this assay could be developed further to provide a new prognostic and diagnostic marker of therapeutic resistance. This analysis demonstrates the ease of rapidly deploying targeted quantitative assays to...
Figure 5. Drug-Induced Inhibition of Cholesterol Synthesis

Systematic proteomic quantification of proteins from a reported gene module and enzymes in the cholesterol synthesis pathway upon drug treatment. (A) The heatmap shows the change in protein abundance following the treatment with lipoprotein-deficient serum (LPDS) and atorvastatin compared to control conditions (untreated and 0.01% DMSO) of the same cell line. The signal represents the mean result from three independent biological experiments and three SRM analyses per sample. Proteins were hierarchically clustered according to the elicited response with the Ward2 algorithm and Euclidean distance. Based on the clustering tree and the protein regulation, we defined five different clusters of proteins showing similar response (I–V). Proteins marked with asterisks were included as “housekeeping” proteins for normalization.

(B) Shown are the measured proteins from the pathway synthesizing cholesterol from acetyl-CoA. The enzymes are sorted by their position in the pathway, and the proteins are color coded according to the cluster in (A) they belong to (I)–(IV). The proteins or metabolites in italics have not been measured and are included for completeness. The inhibition of HMGCR by atorvastatin and the negative feedback of SREBP2 are depicted.

(C) SRM chromatograms of peptide TQNLPNC[160]QLISR and LFSASEFEDPLVGEDTER from protein FDTF1 showing the difference in signal abundance between untreated cells and cells treated with LPDS + 5 μM atorvastatin as representative examples. The lower signal in untreated cells is magnified in the inset.

See also Table S5.
study discrete sets of proteins without relying on the vagaries of antibodies, which is both laborious and costly.

**DISCUSSION**

We generated high-quality fragmentation spectra and verified SRM assays for all accessible proteins described in the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database, a selection of spliced variants, non-synonymous SNPs, and N-glycosylated proteins. This should enable reliable and reproducible quantification of all annotated proteins in the human proteome.

From a systems perspective, biological processes constitute networks of interacting molecules, and changes in network state are informative about the biochemical state of the cell. To determine the state of a network and to compare network states between samples, the parts of a network need to be consistently detected in sample cohorts and quantified, at least at the level of relative quantification. An incomplete parts list may not explain observed processes. The Human SRMAtlas assays provide the tools to reliably navigate predicted or experimentally derived protein networks, to rapidly probe promising interaction partners and to perform relative or, in the presence of isotope-labeled reference peptides, absolute quantification and thus provide new insight into complex biological mechanisms.

The developed assays can be universally applied in different sample types to target any protein of interest and its abundance changes. The assays are beneficial in hypothesis-driven experiments focusing on a relatively small number of proteins, e.g.,
those that carry out a specific function or to probe a mechanism but also in large association studies to monitor protein panels across individuals. We demonstrated the utility of the resource in two studies and a multitude of different applications can be envisioned. Specific enzyme classes and signaling pathways can be studied. Proteins operating cooperatively in networks can be measured across many samples to define, for instance, their dynamic spatiotemporal changes in order to help identify the current health status or disease state. The assays can be used to investigate the response to system perturbations, enriched sub-proteomes, to confirm protein interaction partners or to analyze protein complexes to determine their stoichiometry. The SRM assays are particularly useful in a clinical setting where large volumes of genomic data suggest aberrations or dysregulation in biochemical pathways, thus providing functional hypotheses that are testable by the SRMAtlas resource. Current biomarker verification strategies rely primarily on the development of antibodies for western blots and ELISA tests, a time-intensive and expensive process that limits the verification of many candidate markers. Our assay resource can close this gap by allowing immediate assay implementation and subsequent high-throughput and cost-efficient verification of numerous markers in large cohorts of patient samples. We developed molecular assays with high specificity for the entire proteome as an emerging alternative and complement to conventional antibody screenings. While it can be challenging to verify the specificity of an antibody in discriminating antigenic variants, SRM provides high specificity by molecular determination through the proteotypicity of the selected peptides and several independent assays per protein.

N-glycosylated proteins are secreted or located on the cell surface and constitute a clinically interesting group of proteins that is investigated for biomarkers and drug targets. We developed assays to target N-glycosylated proteins by specifically selecting peptides that span the N-glycosylated sequence motif for use in studies involving N-glycosylation affinity approaches. SNPs have primarily been investigated in genome-wide association studies to classify different traits and gained interest as markers to diagnose diseases and predict drug response. To investigate SNPs resulting in non-synonymous sequence variants, we developed assays for the most frequent mutations, which typically result in protein malfunction and may expose an altered phenotype.

Although the detection of target peptides by SRM is highly sensitive and specific, it can be challenging due to the complexity and large dynamic range in the human proteome, potentially resulting in interference of peptides with similar mass and chromatographic properties. Such interference can lead to a failure in detecting the target peptide. The SRM assays can be deployed in any sample, but the occurrence of potentially interfering transitions needs to be assessed in each experiment to minimize false positives and imprecise quantification. Depending on the scope of a study, different levels of assay validation are recommended (Carr et al., 2014). While SRMAtlas assays are robust and powerful to assess the protein abundance in biological samples for research purposes, assays intended to support clinical decisions would require further clinical validation for robustness in large patient cohorts. Currently, the technique cannot attain the entire range of proteins in higher eukaryotes; therefore, optimized protein extraction, enrichment, and fractionation can further improve sensitivity.

While we present a truly comprehensive and highly characterized resource of assays for all human proteins, their variants and some post-translational modifications, the human proteome is complex, and the coverage by empirical observed peptides is evolving and by no means complete. We provide very high coverage (>99%) at the protein level, but the protein sequence coverage by peptides, in their native and post-translational modified form, will increase as more data become available. Our established pipeline will allow us to develop SRM assays for newly identified peptides and different post-translational modifications in future efforts with ease. The human SRMAtlas is based on the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database 2010 and 2015, but, as the proteome continues to be refined, new assays can be added.

The human SRMAtlas not only facilitates the reliable identification of proteins, but also provides coordinates for the reproducible quantification of analytes. Absolute quantification of peptides can be accomplished by adding known amounts of stable isotope-labeled standards. Label-free quantification can also be performed by SRM but is only accurate for relative quantification given there is no standardization anchor point. Alternatively, absolute label-free quantification based on few anchor points can be pursued (Ludwig et al., 2012). Currently, the resource does not provide experimentally determined limits of detection (LOD) and limits of quantification (LOQ) for every SRM assay as these parameters strongly depend on the individual setting in which the assay is deployed (e.g., cell lysate versus plasma, type of sample preparation, chromatographic performance of LC system). In order to obtain the most accurate LOD/LOQ, these values need to be determined in the individual sample type in the context of each study. We introduced PASSEL (Farrah et al., 2012) as a repository for SRM data to share deployed assays and their performance in different matrices and similar databases followed (Sharma et al., 2014; Whiteaker et al., 2014). While SRM assays developed in different laboratories may be available as part of a publication or through these repositories, the information is scattered, time-consuming to gather, and currently limited to a small number of proteins (<1,000). In contrast, the human SRMAtlas provides high-quality SRM assays including their spectral libraries for the entire predicted human proteome developed in a consistent manner. These assays are generic and are not based on any sample type or biological context but have proved to work in a number of settings (cell lines, plasma, urine protein digests, etc.).

The SRM assays presented here also provide a unique resource for efforts seeking to provide protein-level evidence of any human protein either previously observed or never observed to date to advance our knowledge in human biology and complex diseases. The high-resolution, high-mass accuracy MS/MS spectra generated from synthetic peptides constitute a “gold-standard” fragmentation database that can provide additional confidence by spectral comparison with MS/MS spectra derived from discovery proteomic studies. Recently, the concept of SWATH-MS was introduced (Gillet et al., 2012), a data-independent MS technique that is less sensitive than SRM but capable of generating a digital map of a large fraction of a proteome. The analysis of SWATH data requires information from...
high-quality fragment ion spectral libraries and assays such as the ones developed here to mine these complex fragment ion maps.

In conclusion, the human SRMAtlas provides verified MS assays based on SRM technology developed in a uniform and consistent process for essentially every protein of the human proteome. These assays can be rapidly deployed in systems biology and biomedical studies to identify and quantify any human protein with high sensitivity and high selectivity, and to navigate complete proteome maps to understand their biological functions.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Peptide Selection
For every human protein in UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot release 2010-05, a set of PTPs was selected by mining PeptideAtlas (build 2010-05 internal) or by bio-informatic prediction. The selection of peptides for new protein entries in release 2014-11 and 2015-08 was based on PeptideAtlas build 2014-08. Each peptide obtained a suitability score using the PABST algorithm. Selection criteria include the following: fully tryptic, 7–30 amino acids, SSRCalc 10-46, expected z of 2 to 4, and unique within the human proteome. Peptide selection for spliced isoforms was based on UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot VarSplic (2010-06), for SNPs on the subset of NCBI dbSNP (build 131) entries annotated in the UniProt feature tables.

Peptide Synthesis
Peptides were synthesized via solid phase (Thermo-Fisher) or SPOT synthesis (JPT Peptide Technologies). 96 peptides were pooled and subjected to MS analysis.

MS Analysis
Peptides were analyzed on a G6530A nano HPLC Chip Cube Q-TOF LC-MS system (Agilent Technologies). Spectra were acquired in a data-directed approach using an exclusive precursor selection Auto MS/MS mode. Each m/z was fragmented over a wide range of CEs. Further, peptides were analyzed on a QTrap 5500 LC-MS with a Nano Spray Source III and Tempo nano MDLC (Sciex) in SRM mode, triggering the acquisition of a full MS/MS spectrum upon the detection of a transition.

Data Analysis
MS/MS spectra were searched with X!Tandem and Mascot against an artificial protein database consisting of the 166,174 selected peptides. The search results were validated with the TPF. Consensus spectral libraries were created with SpectraST. For each peptide precursor, up to ten fragment ions from the 6530 Q-TOF consensus spectra were extracted for transition verification.

SRM
Peptides were analyzed with the selected transitions on a G6460A nano HPLC Chip Cube QQQ LC-MS (Agilent Technologies). Data were acquired in dynamic MRM mode using the base CE.

Resource

ACCESSION NUMBERS
The accession number for the prostate cancer cell line microarray data reported in this paper is GEO: GSE83654.
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